Teaching Performance Modeling in the Era of 280characters Information

Vittoria De Nitto Personè



University of Rome Tor Vergata, IT

InfQ, November 23rd 2018, Milano, Italy (WEPPE 2017, April 23rd, L'Aquila, Italy)

Outline



The beginning

1971

Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Task Group 10 – Computer Component and Systems Performance Evaluation: *Computer Performance Evaluation User's Group (CPEUG)*

1972

International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP) Technical Committee 7 System Modeling and Optimization: WG 7.3 Computer System Modeling U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / National Bureau of Standards

Computer Performance Evaluation

"a major source in the limited literature on computer performance, evaluation and measurement"

Computer Performance Evaluation

Proceedings of the Eighth Meeting of Computer Performance Evaluation Users Group7[CPEUG]

Sponsored by United States Army Comput Systems Command

. .

Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

Edited by

Dr. Harold Joseph Highland

State University Agricultural and Technical College at Farmingdale New York 11735



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick B. Dent, Secretory NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Richard W. Roberts, Director

Issued September 1974

1974

The beginning

The evolution and rapid growth of computer performance, evaluation and measurement has been the result of an amalgam of developments in the computer field, namely:

 the growing complexity of modern digital computer systems;

Computer performance, evaluation and measurement is now vital to the designer, the user and the management-owner of a modern computer system.

ogramming es of an r systems:

the estab-

ntial to define

examination

lictionary, is

ill, to give a

tion or a pat-

onment.

business, technical, scientific, industrial and military fields; and

• the emphasis on massive data bases for

To some, computer performance, evaluation and measurement is a tool, a marriage of abstract thought and logic combined with the techniques of statistical and quantitative methods. To others, it is a technique with very heavy reliance on modeling and simulation and simultaneously involves features of both classical experimentation and formal analysis.

regulation by a standard.

The problem of exact specification is made the more difficult by the recent birth and development of computer performance, evaluation and measurement as a discipline within computer science

complexity

.... cograms in

alysis, and

rmination or te or appraise, process of le bounds, the on and meaesigner, the of a modern , computer asurement is thought and ues of statis-To others. vy reliance on multaneously

involves features of both classical experimentation and formal analysis. The problem of exact specification is ma difficult by the recent birth and of computer performance, evalua surement as a discipline within science.

Among the early practitioners of pline were the members of CPEU Performance Evaluation Users G viduals from many United States agencies involved in various phase At about the same time, there we of academicians as well as analys ness and industry working in this gave rise to the formation within for Computing Machinery of SIGM Interest Group in Measurement a which is currently known as SIG

In its formative period of growth performance, evaluation and mea relied heavily upon modeling and It has been concerned with the c puter systems as well as the an behavior of these systems. the one hand, has used simulatic detailed information about a syst has created, or about which his limited. On the other hand. has used simulation to test varie about the system in an effort to performance. It is a quixoti this discipline grows it will beca disciplinary and involve the work modelers, simulators and statisti behavioral scientists, economic a management scientists.

Computer performance, evaluation ment is replete with benefits for community - the manufacturer of tronic processing equipment, the equipment, especially the manage for the complete operations, as purchasers of this equipment. able of providing many urgently ne

sources

IFIP WG 7.3

http://www.ifip.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=185&Itemid=448

Program Committees SIGMETRICS 2015, (ICPE, MAMA, MASCOTS, WEPPE) 2017

Some journal editorial boards ACM TOMACS, TOMPECS

FRIENDS

INFQ the Italian group on Quantitative Methods in Informatics

> 300 web pages, > 60 email

the boundaries

Enclosure

Performance Modeling courses

Excluded

Operations Research Stochastic Processes (just) Queueing Theory (just) Simulation (just)

A teaching map (where)

About 70 courses (currently teached in 2016/2017)







A teaching map (where)



the bearings

Enclosure

Performance Modeling courses

General PM

PM for Communication Systems

PM for SW (performance engineering)

the bearings

Enclosure



the bearings

Enclosure



Capacity Planning Bruno Ciciani, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Italy **Computer Systems Analysis** David M. Nicol, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA **Computer Systems Modelling** Richard Gibbens, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom **Computer Systems Performance Analysis** Teo Yong Meng, National University of Singapore, Singapore **Computer System Performance Evaluation** Daniel A. Menasce, George Mason University, USA **Computer Systems Performance Evaluation** Giuseppe Serazzi, Politecnico di Milano, Italy **Enterprise Digital Infrastructure** Maria Carla Calzarossa, University of Pavia, Italy Introduction to Computer Performance Modeling Harry Perros, George N. Rouskas, William J. Stewart, Do Y. Eun, North Carolina state University, USA Model based analysis and optimization Peter Buchholz, University of Dortmund, Germany Modeling and analysis of embedded and distributed systems Peter Buchholz, University of Dortmund, Germany

Modeling and evaluation of systems Marie-Ange Remiche, University of Namur, Belgium Modeling and Performance Evaluation Vishal Misra, Columbia University, USA Modeling and Simulation Verena Wolf, University of Saarbrücken, Germany Modelli e linguaggi di simulazione Giuseppe Iazeolla, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy **Performance Engineering** Samuel Kounev, Karlsruhe Institute of technology, Wurzburg, Germany **Performance Evaluation** Jean-Yves Le Boudec, Ecole Politechnique Federale de Lausanna, Switzerland Performance Evaluation Philippe Nain, University of Massachusetts Amherst, USA Performance Evaluation of Computer and Communication Systems Cheng-Fu Chou, National Taiwan University, Taiwan Performance Evaluation of Computer Systems Marco Gribaudo, Politecnico di Milano, Como Campus, Italy Performance Evaluation of Computer Systems and Networks Varsha Apte, Indian Institute of Technology, India

Performance Evaluation of Computer Systems and Networks

Giovanni Stea, University of Pisa, Italy

Performance Modeling

Yong C. Tay, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Performance Modeling

Yong C. Tay, Tembusu College National University of Singapore, Singapore Performance Modeling of Computer Systems and Networks

Vittoria de Nitto Personè, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy

Performance Modelling

Jane Hillston, University of Edinburgh, Scotland

Quantitative Methods and Experimental Design in CS

Daniel A. Menasce, George Mason University, USA

Queueing Analysis and Simulation

Dieter Fiems, University of Ghent, Belgium

Queueing systems

Sem Borst, Jacques A.C. Resing, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands Queueing Theory

Uri Yechiali, Tel Aviv University, Israel

Simulation

Evgenia Smirni, College of William & Mary, Virginia, USA

Simulation: Algorithms and Implementation Gianfranco Balbo, Iowa State University, USA

Simulation and Modeling

Mart Molle, University of California, USA

Simulation and Modelling

Tony Field, Imperial College, United Kingdom Simulation and Modelling

Gianfranco Balbo, University of Torino, Italy Simulazione di sistemi

Lorenzo Donatiello, University of Bologna, Italy

Stochastic performance modelling

Onno J. Boxma, Maria Vlasiu, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

System Availability modeling

Kishor Trivedi, Duke University, USA (3days course)

System Evaluation

Aad van Moorsel, Nigel Thomas, Newcastle University, United Kingdom

Systems Modelling and Analysis

Peter Marbach, University of Toronto, Canada

Systems Modelling And Simulation

Carey Williamson, University of Calgary, Canada

Valutazione delle Prestazioni

Giuliana Franceschinis, Andrea Bobbio, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

23+ EU 12+ C&U <u>6</u> A 41

PM for Communication Systems

Advanced Networking And Internet Modeling Francesco Lo Presti, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy Advanced Performance Modeling Phone Lin, National Taiwan University, Taiwan Advanced Topics in Computer Networks Konstantinos Psounis, University of Southern California, USA **Communication Networks** Javad Ghaderi, Columbia University, USA Design and Performance Evaluation of Network Services and Systems Do Y. Eun, North Carolina state University, USA Étude des Grands Réseaux Stochastiques Philippe Robert, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France Introduction to Computer Networks Longbo Huang, Tsinghua University, China Introduction to Computer Networks Peter Marbach, University of Toronto, Canada Large-Scale Distributed Systems and Networks Niklas Carlsson, Linkoping University, Sweden Modeling of Large Wireless Networks Francois Baccelli, University of Texas at Austin, USA Network Analysis, Simulation, and Measurements Victor S. Frost, University of Kansas, USA

PM for Communication Systems

Network modelling and simulation Marco Ajmone Marsan, Politecnico di Torino, Italy EU 10+**Network Performance Analysis** Thomas Bonald, Telecom ParisTech, France 9+ C&U Performance Evaluation using Queueing Networks Α Gerardo Rubino, Bruno Tuffin, Consortium SIF, France Performance Modelling and Simulation Paul J. Kühn, Andreas Kirstädter, University of Stuttgart, Germany Performance Modelling of Computer Communication Networks Nicolò Michelusi, Purdue University, USA Random Processes in Communication and Control I Randall Berry, Northestern University, USA Simulazione e prestazioni delle reti Andrea Marin, Ca' Foscari, University of Venice, Italy Stochastic networks Frank Kelly, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom Stochastic networks Johan S.H. van Leeuwaarden, Sem Borst, Eindhoven University of Technology, The **Netherlands** The Art & Science of Quantitative Reasoning Azer Bestavros, Boston University, USA 19

PM for SW

Design of High Performance Software Greg Franks, Carleton University, Canada **High Performance Software** Shikharesh Majumdar, Carleton University, Canada Modeling and Measurement of Software Performance Diwakar Krishnamurthy, University of Calgary, Canada Performance Engineering Dorina Petriu, Carleton University, Canada Requirements engineering and software architecture André van Hoorn, University of Stuttgart, Germany Safe and reliable software systems André van Hoorn, University of Stuttgart, Germany Software performance and scalability Andrea Marin, Ca' Foscari, University of Venice, Italy Software Performance Evaluation Diwakar Krishnamurthy, University of Calgary, Canada

EU

5+ Canada

Business

Performance Dinamics Company Neil J. Gunther, Castro Valley, California, USA Guerrilla Capacity Planning Boot Camp Guerrilla Capacity Planning: The Big Picture Guerrilla Data Analysis Techniques



Performance Engineering Services Division

L&S Computer Technology, Inc. Connie U. Smith, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA Performance Solutions: Solving Performance Problems Quickly and Effectively Software Performance Engineering: Methods and Quantitative Techniques for Proactively Managing Software Performance Performance Engineering Model Bootcamp[™]: Practical Techniques for Modeling Your Systems

Software Performance and Scalability Consulting LLC

André B. Bondi, Red Bank, New Jersey, USA Foundations of Performance Engineering Performance Requirements Engineering and Practice for Product Managers

A teaching map (what)

PM for SW

Operational laws Petri nets Queueing systems (and LQN) Simulation Statistics

PM for Communication Systems

Combinatorics Control Fluid models Game theory Graph theory Network calculus Operational laws Optimization Probability Queueing theory Simulation Statistics Stochastic processes

Fluid models Operational laws Optimization Petri nets Probability Process algebras Queueing systems Simulation Statistics Stochastic processes Timed automata Workload characterization

General PM

"Mutations"

Simonetta Balsamo, Ca' Foscari, University of Venice, Italy 2015 Performance and Reliability of Computer Systems 2016 Computer networks, Cloud Computing and Distributed Systems

Konstantinos Psounis, University of Southern California, USA ?? Probabilistic Methods in Computer Systems Modelling, 2015 Probability for Electrical and Computer Engineers

Adam Wierman, Caltech, USA 2010 Analytic tools for system design 2015 Network performance analysis: The Fundamentals of Heavy Tails 2017 Networks: Structure & Economics <u>http://courses.cms.caltech.edu/cs144/</u>

"Mutations"

Cathy H. Xia, The Ohio State University, USA 2014 Performance Modeling and Simulation, 2015 Simulation for System Analytics and Decision-Making

Cliff C. Zou, University of Central Florida, USA 2014 Performance Models of Computers and Networks, network security: Malware and Software Vulnerability Analysis, Cyber Operation Lab

John C.S. Lui, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China 2009, Computer System Performance Evaluation 2017 Advanced Topics in Internet Technology, Fundamentals Machine Learning

Leana Golubchik, University of Southern California, USA 2004, Performance Evaluation Boudewijn R. Haverkort, University of Twente, The Netherlands 2015, Performance Evaluation Mary K. Vernon, The University of Wisconsin, USA 2010 Computer System Modeling Fundamentals 2011 Advanced Computer Systems Analysis Techniques

missing

Some PM courses (general) have been closed



Does the modeling approach have a value in itself for education?



Is it no more time to teach the **modeling principles** and **basic methodologies**?

Do we have to follow the **specialization** trend?

Do we need of a **new agenda** for PM courses?

Basic general PM courses

Move towards specialized PM courses

A look at CS2013

Computer Science Curricula 2013

Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Computer Science

Body of Knowledge

PD. Parallel and Distributed Computing

PD/Parallel Performance (Electives)

OS. Operating Systems

OS/System Performance Evaluation (Electives)

SF. Systems Fundamentals

SF/Evaluation 3 Core-Tier1 hours SF/Resource Allocation and Scheduling 2 Core-Tier2 hours SF/Quantitative Evaluation (Electives)

A look at CE2016

Body of Knowledge

Computer Engineering Curricula 2016

CE2016

Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Computer Engineering

CE-CAO Computer Architecture and Organization **CE-NWK Computer Networks** [60 core hours] [20 core hours]

CE-CAO-4 Measuring performance [3]

CE-NWK-10 Performance evaluation

CE-SPE Systems and Project Engineering [35 core hours]

CE-SPE-9 System architectural design and evaluation [4]

CE-SRM Systems Resource Management [20 core hours]

CE-SRM-7 System performance evaluation

specialization vs general

A short wide-ranging analysis of computing:

history, evolution, domains...

Identify the principles behind computing



Great Principles of Computing Peter J. Denning and Craig H. Martell, 2015

Principles categories



"The six categories do not divide the computing knowledge space into separete slices. They are like windows of a exagonal kiosk. Each window see the inside space in a distinctive way; but the same thing can be seen in more than one window."



computing domains

communities of practice

"I have strongly advocated that performance modeling and engineering are fundamental parts of computer science" P. Denning

1. Computing

2. Domains

- **3. Information**
- 4. Machines
- **5. Programming**
- 6. Computation
- 7. Memory

8. Parallelism

- 9. Queueing
- **10.Design 11.Networking**

GREAT PRINCIPLES OF COMPUTING PETER I: DENNING CRAIG R. MARTELE Cooperative parallelism Competitive parallelism

A broader issue

Time of crisis

A social transformation

The University pushed to became a *utilitarian* organization

University as a utilitarian organization

Pursuit of knowledge immediately useful for the economy

Training of workers, leaving mostly on the side the education of human beings and citizens



Juan Carlos De Martin Tuesday, February 28, 2017 at 12:00 pm Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society at Harvard University

Five Global Challenges and the Role of University Berkman Faculty Associate, Juan Carlos De Martin with Berkman Klein founder, Charlie Nesson

https://demartin.polito.it/node/190

A broader issue

The aim of higher education

"The aim of higher education is not merely to prepare students for jobs. It is to prepare them to lead, innovate, and contribute meaningfully to the world around them" SATISH K. TRIPATHI "Higher learning can offer individuals and societies a depth and breadth of vision absent from the inevitably myopic present. Human beings need meaning, understanding and perspective as well as jobs. The question should not be whether we can afford to believe in such purposes in these times, but whether we can afford not to." DREW FAUST

"Humanity will need

knowledge (both old and new) more than ever before **people** capable of interpreting, using, producing such knowledge more than ever before

that as many people as possible are **critical thinkers**" JUAN CARLOS DE MARTIN

University as
a utilitarian+crisisorganization-

A general decreasing of educational level

An excess of specialization

In several fields, the negative effects start to be evident

general lack of professionalism general lack of ability to face with unexpected situation

I think this will lead to a route change

Last but not least

A general decreasing of educational level

An excess of specialization





1

Students are changed



Information is not knowledge

Need to transform the <u>excess</u> of information in Knowledge

Michel Serres Thumbelina: the culture and technology of millennials

http://www.repubblica.it/cultura/2015/04/18/news/michel_serres_cari_filosofi_fermate______i_danni_dell_ipertrofia_tecnologica_-112269911/

"Before to teach someone something, you must at least know him" (her) Michel Serres

The conclusion

just a line of discussion

- The educational process is in crisis (and maybe PM too)
- 2. The future needs of critical thinking

3. The modeling activity

- formalism
- representation
- interpretation
- intuition

is a good training for critical thinking

economical crisis

students are changed

political and social issue



Giulietta Nicola Francesco

André Bondi André van Hoorn Gianfranco Balbo Giuseppe Serazzi Harry G. Perros Peter Denning